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#### Abstract

Presenting data on academic facuity salaries and compensation nationwide and in the University and Community College System of Nevada (UCCSN), this report highlights two studies of salaries at 51 land grant universities and at 42 medium-enrollment urban community colleges for the years 1985-86 through 1991-92. Data for both studies were obtained from the American Association of University Professors' annual survey of faculty compensation, and each study is divided into two sections dealing with national data and UCCSN data, respectively. The first part presents data on public universities, collecting information on salaries and compensation for one university from each state and both the University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV) and the University of Nevada, Reno (UNR). Th. section indicates that in 1991-92 the average national salary rose $7.2 \%$ from the 1989-90 averages, the average UNR salary rose $11.1 \%$ in the same period, while the average UNLV salary rose only $4 \%$. The second part presents data on 42 urban community colleges and two Nevada community colleges, Community College of Southern Nevada (CCSN) and Truckee Meadows Community College (TMCC). Data in this part indicate that average community college salaries in 1991-92 rose nationally $10.3 \%$ from the 1989-90 averages, the average CCSN salary rose $13.7 \%$ over the same period, while the average TMCC salary rose $9.2 \%$. Tables of salaries and institutional rankings for 1985-86 through 1991-92 are included. (ECC)
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ACADEMIC FACULTY SALARY STUDY FOR 1991-92

## AT PRINCIPAL LAND GRANT UNIVERSITIES

## Introduction

This study covers faculty salaries and compensation at 51 universities for the 199192 academic year. Results of the study may be found in two sections. Section A covers results of the entire national sample. Section B covers results of the University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV) and the University of Nevada, Reno (UNR). Complete rankings of all institutions may be found on pages 8 and 9.

The sample of 51 institutions utilized in this study consists of mostly land grant universities. In a few cases, another public university has been substicuted for the state land grant university if the land grant university was not thought to be comparable to the rest of the sample. One university is included from each state in the United States, with the exception of Nevada which has both UNLV and UNR in the sample.

This sample was first used by Blatz and Dobra ${ }^{1}$ in A Comparative Analysis of Faculty Compensation at Principal Land Grant Universities published in 1986, 1988 and 1990. This study draws comparisons with the findings in, and utilizes data from, those three surveys. The data for $1991-92$ in this study were obtained from the American Association of University Professors' survey, which is published on an annual basis in Academe magazine. This same data source was utilized for unadjusted salary and

1 Robert E. Blatz, Jr. and John L. Dobra, "A Comparative Analysis of Faculty Compensation at Principal Land Grant Universities," Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of Nevada, Reno, (April 1986), (May 1988), (June 1990).
compensation figures by Blatz and Dobra in their three previous studies.
Salary and compensation figures for each university in the sample are averages for faculty at all ranks-- professors, associate professors, assistant professors, instructors. and lecturers. The averages were computed using each institution's respective distribution. In Section B, salary and compensation data is presented by rank for UNLV and UNR.

Section A-Salary Study Results, National

Table 1 shows salary and compensation characteristics of highest average salary, top quartile, mean, median, and lowest average salary for 1991-92 as well as for 1989-90, 1987-88, and 1985-86. The average salary of the 1991-92 sample increased $7.2 \%$ from the $1989-90$ averages. For compensation, the average of the sample increased $6.4 \%$. Both of these percentage increases are lower for the two-year period when compared to the percentage increases between 1987-88 and 1989-90 of $13.6 \%$ and $16.0 \%$ for average salary and average compensation respectively. The modest gains of the past two years occurred during a recessionary economic cycle when many states faced budget shortfalls and universities were forced to cut budgets, including Nevada institutions.

As Table 1 shows, the highest average salary in the $1991-92$ sample was $\$ 62,500$ from Rutgers University-New Brunswick, a percentage increase of $13.8 \%$ from the highest average salary in 1989-90. Purdue University reported the top quartile salary of $\$ 51,300$, a percentage increase of $3.6 \%$ from the top quartile salary in 1989-90. The median salary of $\$ 47,400$, that of Texas $A \& M$, is an increase of $8.0 \%$ from the median in 1989-90. South Dakota State University, at $\$ 34,700$, was the low salary institution in the sample as it was in 1989-90. The lowest average salary increased $8.1 \%$ over the two

TABLE ONE: SALARY AND COMPENSATION CHARACTERISTICS 1991-92, 1989-90, 1987-88, AND 1985-86

SALARY

1991-92
$\begin{array}{ll}1989-90 & \text { HIGH } \\ & \text { 1OP QTL } \\ & \text { MEAN } \\ & \text { MEDIAN } \\ & \text { LOW }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}1989-90 & \text { HIGH } \\ & \text { 1OP QTL } \\ & \text { MEAN } \\ & \text { MEDIAN } \\ & \text { LOW }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}1989-90 & \text { HIGH } \\ & \text { 1OP QTL } \\ & \text { MEAN } \\ & \text { MEDIAN } \\ & \text { LOW }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}1989-90 & \text { HIGH } \\ & \text { 1OP QTL } \\ & \text { MEAN } \\ & \text { MEDIAN } \\ & \text { LOW }\end{array}$


Institution
Rutgers-New Brunswick Purdue

Texas A \& M
South Dakota State

Connecticut-Storrs
Wisconsin-Madison
Colorado State
South Dakota State

Salary
\$62,500
\$51,300
\$47,500
\$47,400
\$34,700
\$54,900
\$49,500
\$44,300
\$43,900
\$32,100

1987-88 HIGH Connecticut-Storrs \$48,400
TOP QTL Maryland-College Park $\$ 42,500$
MEAN
MEDIAN Nevada-Reno $\$ 38,400$
LOW South Dakota State \$29,400
\$39,000

1985-86
HICiH
TOP QTL
MEAN
MEDIAN
LOW

Alaska-Fairbanks
\$44,800
\$37,400
\$35,100
\$34,600
\$26,800

TABLE ONE Continued: SALARY AND COMPENSATION CHARACTERISTICS 1991-92. 1989-90, 1987-88 AND 1985-86

COMPENSATION

| $1991-92$ |  | Institution | Compensation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | HIGH | Rutgers-New Brunswick | $\$ 78,200$ |
|  | TOP QTL | Hawaii-Manoa | $\$ 63,800$ |
|  | MEAN |  | $\$ 58,500$ |
|  | MEDIAN | Nebraska | $\$ 58,700$ |
|  | LOW | South Dakota State | $\$ 40,400$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $1989-90$ | HIGH | SUNY-Buffalo | $\$ 68,900$ |
|  | TOP QTL | lowa State | $\$ 61,700$ |
|  | MEAN |  | $\$ 55,000$ |
|  | MEDIAN | Colorado State | $\$ 52,800$ |
|  | LOW | South Dakota State | $\$ 37,200$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\$ 60,500$ |
| $1987-88$ | HIGH | Alaska-Fairbanks | $\$ 52,500$ |
|  | TOP QTL | Arizona | $\$ 47,400$ |
|  | MEAN |  | $\$ 47,200$ |
|  | MEDIAN | lowa State | $\$ 33,800$ |
|  | LOW | South Dakota State |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\$ 54,900$ |
| $1985-86$ | HIGH | Alaska-Fairbanks | $\$ 46,000$ |
|  | TOP QTL | Purdue | $\$ 42,400$ |
|  | MEAN |  | $\$ 41,500$ |
|  | MEDIAN | Texas A \& M | $\$ 31,100$ |

years since 1989-90.
As Table 1 shows, the highest average compensation in the 1991-92 sample was $\$ 78,200$ from Rutgers University-New Brunswick, a percentage increase of $13.5 \%$ from the highest average compensation in 1989-90. The University of Hawaii-Manoa reported the top quartile compensation of $\$ 63,800$, a percentage increase of $3.4 \%$ from the top quartile compensation in 1989-90. The median compensation of $\$ 58,700$, that of the University of Nebraska-Lincoln, is a percentage increase of $11.2 \%$ from the median compensation in 1989-90. South Dakota State University, at $\$ 40,400$, was the low compensation institution in the sample as it was in 1989-90. The lowest average compensation increased $8.6 \%$ over the two years since 1989-90. Complete rankings of all institutions may be found on pages 8 and 9 .

## Section B: Salary Study Results, UNLV and UNR

Table 2 shows salary and compensation characteristics of salary, percentage change, and ranking in the sample for UNLV and UNR. Between 1989-90 and 1991-92, the UNLV average salary for all ranks increased by $4.0 \%$ and the average compensation increased by $4.7 \%$. In the ranking of the sample of 51 institutions, UNLV fell from 30 to 34 in average salary and from 38 to 39 in compensation.

Between 1989-90 and 1991-92, the UNR average salary for all ranks increased by $11.1 \%$ and the average compensation increased by $11.4 \%$. In the ranking of the 51 institutions, UNR rose to 23 from 27 in average salary and to 32 from 34 in average compensation. Table 3 presents average salary and compensation data for UNLV and UNR by rank.

TABLE TWO: UNLV AND UNR SALARY AND COMPENSATION CHARACTERISTICS 1985-86, 1987-88, 1989-90 AND 1991-92

1985-86
1987-88
1989-90
1991-92

UNLV

| SALARY | $\$ 33,500$ | $\$ 37,210$ | $\$ 43,182$ | $\$ 44,925$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \% Change | $\ldots--$ | $11.1 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| Rank | 33 | 30 | 30 | 34 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| COMP | $\$ 38,400$ | $\$ 42,684$ | $\$ 50,100$ | $\$ 52464$ |
| \% Change | $\cdots---11.2 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |  |
| Rank | 43 | 38 | 38 | 39 |

## UNR

| SALARY | $\$ 34,000$ | $\$ 38,393$ | $\$ 43,646$ | $\$ 48,502$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \% Change | ----- | $12.9 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ |
| Rank | 28 | 26 | 27 | 23 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| COMP | $\$ 38,900$ | $\$ 44,024$ | $\$ 50,351$ | $\$ 56,064$ |
| \% Change | ----- | $13.2 \%$ | $1+.4 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ |
| Rank | 39 | 31 | 34 | 32 |

TABLE THREE: UNLV AND UNR SALARY AND COMPENSATION CHARACTERISTICS BY RANK FOR 1991-92

## SALARY

| Rank | UNLV |  | \# Faculty |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | UNR |  |
| \# Faculty |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | $\$ 59,992$ | 135 |  |  |
| Associate Professor | $\$ 48,307$ | 166 |  | $\$ 46,099$ |
| Assistant Professor | $\$ 39,151$ | 206 |  | 133 |
| Instructor | $\cdots 39,469$ | 115 |  |  |
| Lecturer | $\$ 29,473$ | 0 | 91 | $\$ 31,434$ |

COMPENSATION

| Rank | UNLV | \# Faculty | UNR | \# Faculty |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Professor | \$69,250 | 135 | \$69,916 | 133 |
| Associate Professor | \$56,222 | 166 | \$53,908 | 115 |
| Assistant Professor | \$46,028 | 206 | \$46,124 | 101 |
| Instructor | -------- | 0 | \$37,283 | 5 |
| Lecturer | \$35,274 | 91 | \$37,797 | 26 |


|  | University | Salary | \% Above or Below Mgdian | \% Above or Below Top QtI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Rutgers-New Brunswick | \$62,502 | 32.0\% | 21.7\% |
| 2. | Connecticut-Storrs | \$57,685 | 21.8\% | 12.4\% |
| 3. | SUNY-Buffalo | \$57,541 | 21.5\% | 12.1\% |
| 4. | California-Davis | \$55,122 | 16.4\% | 7.4\% |
| 5. | Ohio State-Columbus | \$54,251 | 14.6\% | 5.7\% |
| 6. | Illinois-Urbana | \$5,000 | 14.0\% | 5.2\% |
| 7. | Hawaii-Manoa | \$53,685 | 13.4\% | 4.6\% |
| 8. | Maryland-College Park | \$53,549 | 13.1\% | 4.3\% |
| 9. | Minnesota | \$53,246 | 12.4\% | 3.7\% |
| 10. | Massachusetts-Amherst | \$53,135 | 123\% | 3.6\% |
| 11. | Michigan State | \$52,484 | 10.8\% | 2.2\% |
| 12. | Wiscor. jin -Madison | \$52,226 | 10.3\% | 1.7\% |
| 13. | Purdue (TOP QUARTILE) | \$51,344 | 8.4\% | 0.0\% |
| 14. | Virginia Tech | \$51,058 | 7.8\% | 0.6\% |
| 15. | Rhode Island | \$50,908 | 7.5\% | -6.8\% |
| 16. | Kentucky | \$50,410 | 6.4\% | -1.8\% |
| 17. | Iowa State | \$50,258 | 6.1\% | -2.1\% |
| 18. | N C State | \$50,091 | 5.8\% | -2.4\% |
| 19. | Delaware | \$49,946 | 5.5\% | -2.7\% |
| 20. | Penn State | \$49,699 | 4.9\% | -3.2\% |
| 21. | Nebraska-Lincoin | \$48,779 | 3.0\% | -5.0\% |
| 22. | Florida-Gainesville | \$48,517 | 2.5\% | -5.5\% |
| 23. | NEVADA-RENO | \$48,502 | 2.4\% | -5.5\% |
| 24. | Colorado State | \$48,380 | 2.2\% | -5.8\% |
| 25. | Arizona | \$47,426 | 0.1\% | -7.6\% |
| 26. | Texas A8M (MEDIAN) | \$47,358 | 0.0\% | -7.8\% |
| 27. | Vermont | \$46,769 | -1.2\% | -8.9\% |
| 28. | Tennessee | \$46,059 | -2.7\% | -10.3\% |
| 29. | Louisiana State | \$45,948 | -3.0\% | -10.5\% |
| 30. | Georgia | \$45,683 | -3.5\% | -11.0\% |
| 31. | Alaska-Fairbanks | \$45,134 | -4.7\% | -12.1\% |
| 32. | Now Hampshire | \$44,995 | -5.0\% | -12.4\% |
| 33. | Clemson | \$44,935 | -5.1\% | -12.5\% |
| 34. | NEVADA-LAS VEGAS | \$44,925 | -5.1\% | -12.9\% |
| 35. | Oxlahoma State | \$44,466 | $-6.1 \%$ | -13.4\% |
| 36. | Washington State | \$44,103 | -6.9\% | -14.1\% |
| 37. | Wyoming | \$43,919 | -7.3\% | -14.5\% |
| 38. | Maine-Orono | \$43,291 | -8.6\% | -15.7\% |
| 39. | Oregon State | \$43,196 | -8.8\% | -15.9\% |
| 40. | Auburn | \$43.053 | -9.1\% | -16.1\% |
| 41. | Arkansas | \$42,724 | -9.8\% | -16.8\% |
| 42. | Missouri-Columbia | \$42,668 | -9.9\% | -16.9\% |
| 43. | Idaho | \$42,523 | -10.2\% | -17.2\% |
| 44. | Kansas State | \$41,517 | -12.3\% | -19.1\% |
| 45. | West Virginia | \$41,359 | -12.7\% | -19.4\% |
| 46. | New Mexico State | \$40,776 | -13.9\% | -20.6\% |
| 47. | Montana State | \$39,660 | -16.3\% | -22.8\% |
| 48. | Mississippi State | \$39,444 | -16.7\% | -23.2\% |
| 49. | North Dakota State | \$38,565 | -18.6\% | -24.9\% |
| 50. | Utah State | \$37,693 | -20.4\% | -26.t\% |
| 51. | South Dakota State | \$34,688 | -26.8\% | -32.4\% |


|  | University | Compensation | \% Above or Below Median | \% Above or Below Top OtI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Rutgers-Now Brunswick | \$78,226 | 33.2\% | 22.7\% |
| 2. | SUNY-Butfalo | \$72,795 | 24.0\% | 14.2\% |
| 3. | Connecticutt-Storrs | \$72,562 | 23.6\% | 13.8\% |
| 4. | Minnesota | \$67,693 | 15.3\% | 6.2\% |
| 5. | Michigan State | \$66,465 | 13.2\% | 4.2\% |
| 6. | Maryland-College Park | \$66,430 | 13.1\% | 4.2\% |
| 7. | Wisconsin | \$65,606 | 11.7\% | 2.9\% |
| 8. | Rhode Island | \$65,500 | 11.6\% | 2.7\% |
| 9. | Purdue | \$65,410 | 11.4\% | 2.6\% |
| 10. | Ohio State-Columbus | \$65,243 | 11.1\% | 2.3\% |
| 11. | Delaware | \$65,092 | 10.9\% | 2.1\% |
| 12. | Massachusetts-Amherst | \$64,790 | 10.3\% | 1.6\% |
| 13. | Hawail (TOP QUARTILE) | \$63,765 | 8.6\%. | 0.0\% |
| 14. | Iowa State | \$63,166 | 7.6\% | -0.9\% |
| 15. | California-Davis | \$62,844 | 7.0\% | -1.4\% |
| 16. | Virginia Tech | \$62,809 | 7.0\% | -1.5\% |
| 17. | Alaska-Fairbanks | \$62,464 | 6.4\% | -2.0\% |
| 18. | Florida-Gainesville | \$62,309 | 6.1\% | -2.3\% |
| 19. | Penn State | \$61,945 | 5.5\% | -2.9\% |
| 20. | Illinois-Urbana | \$61,572 | 4.9\% | -3.4\% |
| 21. | Kentucky | \$60,517 | 3.1\% | -5.1\% |
| 22. | Vermont | \$60,185 | 2.5\% | -5.6\% |
| 23. | NCState | \$60,035 | 2.2\% | -5.9\% |
| 24. | Arizona | \$59,213 | 0.8\% | -7.1\% |
| 25. | Texas A8M | \$58,933 | 0.4\% | -7.6\% |
| 26. | Nebraska (MEDIAN) | \$58,719 | 0.0\% | -7.9\% |
| 27. | Tennessee | \$57,723 | -1.7\% | -9.5\% |
| 26. | Colorado State | \$57,717 | -1.7\% | -9.5\% |
| 29. | Georgia | \$56,907 | -3.1\% | -10.8\% |
| 30. | Louisiana State | \$56,235 | -4.2\% | -11.8\% |
| 31. | Oregon State | \$56,188 | -4.3\% | -11.9\% |
| 32. | NEVADA-RENO | \$56,064 | -4.5\% | -121\% |
| 33. | Now Hampshire | \$56,048 | -4.6\% | -12.1\% |
| 34. | Oklahoma State | \$55,596 | -5.3\% | -12.8\% |
| 35. | Washington State | \$54,618 | -7.0\% | -14.3\% |
| 36. | Clemson | \$54,578 | -7.1\% | -14.4\% |
| 37. | Maine-Orono | \$54,026 | -8.0\% | -15.3\% |
| 38. | Wyoming | \$53.541 | -8.1\% | -15.4\% |
| 39. | NEVADA-LAS VEGAS | \$52,464 | -10.7\% | -17.7\% |
| 40. | Idaho | \$52,245 | -11.0\% | -18.1\% |
| 41. | Arkansas | \$51,958 | -11.5\% | -18.5\% |
| 42. | Kansas State | \$51,821 | -11.8\% | -18.7\% |
| 43. | Auburn | \$51,202 | -12.8\% | -19.7\% |
| 44. | Missouri-Columbia | \$50,190 | -14.5\% | -21.3\% |
| 45. | Ulah State | \$50,031 | -14.8\% | -21.5\% |
| 46. | West Virginia | \$49,935 | -15.0\% | -21.7\% |
| 47. | New Mexico State | \$49,665 | -15.4\% | -22.1\% |
| 48. | Montana State | \$47,977 | -18.3\% | -24.8\% |
| 49. | Mississippi State | \$47,165 | -19.7\% | -26.0\% |
| 50. | North Dakota State | \$45,175 | -25. $\%$ \% | -29.2\% |
| 51. | South Dakota State | \$40,382 | -31.2\% | -36.7\% |

## ACADEMIC FACULTY SALARY STUDY FOR 1991-92 MEDIUM-ENROLLMENT URBAN COMMUNITY COLLEGES

## Introduction

This study covers faculty salaries for 42 community colleges and compensation for 40 community colleges. Results of the study may be found in two sections. Section A covers results of the entire national sample. Section B covers Nevada results. Complete rankings of all institutions may be found on pages 17 and 18 .

The sample includes 42 medium-enrollment community colleges located in urban areas. Medium-enrollment is defined as between 4,000 and 25,000 total students for headcount enrollment. Urban areas are those with a population of 100,000 or more residents. Both of Nevada's medium-enrollment urban community colleges, Community College of Southern Nevada and Truckee Meadows Community College, are included in the sample. While Northern Nevada Community College and Western Nevada Community College are not eligible for the medium-enrollment urban classification, data for both institutions are listed in the tables for comparison purposes.

Data were requested from a sample of 47 community colleges first used by Blatz and Dobra ${ }^{2}$ in A Comparative Analysis of Faculty Compensation at Medium-Enrollment Urban Community Colleges, published in 1990. Through the American Association of University Professors' (AAUP) survey published annually in Academe magazine, data

2 Robert E. Blatz, Jr. and John L. Dobra, "A Comparative Analysis of Faculty Compensation at Medium-Enrollment Urban Community Colleges," Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of Nevada, Reno, (April 1986), (May 1988), (June 1990).
were obtsined for the majority of the colleges (many colleges, however, did not complete the survey). The remaining colleges were contacted in an attempt to get the salary and compensation information that they would have submitted to AAUP. The results of this process produced data from 42 of the original 47 community colleges for faculty salaries and from 40 of the 47 for faculty compensation.

Blatz and Dobra also utilized AAUP data for their unadjusted salary and compensation figures in previous studies. This particular study draws comparisons with the findings in, and utilizes data from, those previous surveys. Salary and compensation figures for each institution in the sample are averages for faculty at all ranks.

## Section A- Salary Study Results, National

Table 1 shows salary and compensation information of highest average salary, top quartile, mean, median, and lowest average salary for 1991-92 as well as for 1989-90, 1987-88, and 1985-86. The average salary of the 1991-92 sample increased $10.3 \%$ from the $1989-90$ averages. For compensation, the average of the sample increased $11.6 \%$.

As Table 1 shows the highest average salary in the 1991-92 sample was $\$ 54,800$ from San Joaquin Delta College, a percentage increase of $14.4 \%$ from the highest average salary in 1989-90. The top quartile salary of the sample was $\$ 43,500$, a percentage increase of $6.9 \%$ over the top quartile salary in 1989-90. The median salary of the sample was $\$ 39,200$, a percentage increase of $7.7 \%$ over the median in 1989-90. The lowest average salary, that of Midlands Technical College, was $\$ 27,800$, a percentage increase of $7.7 \%$ over the lowest average salary in the $1989-90$ sample.

As Table 1 shows the highest average compensation in the 1991-92 sample was

TABLE ONE: SALARY AND COMPENSATION STATISTICS 1991-92, 1989-90, 1987-88, AND 1985-86

SALARY


TABLE ONE Continued: SALARY AND COMPENSATION CHARACTERISTICS 1985-86, 1987-88, 1989-90 .AND 1991-92

COMPENSATION

| $1991-92$ | HIGH | Institution <br>  <br>  TOP QTL | Lakewood CC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\$ 68,000$ from San Joaquin Deita College, a percentage increase of $18.5 \%$ from the highest average compensation in 1989-90. Lakewood Community College reported the top quartile compensation of $\$ 56,400$, a percentage increase of $10.8 \%$ from the top quartile compensation in 1989-90. The median compensation of $\$ 49,900$, that of Honolulu Community College, is a percentage increase of $11.4 \%$ from the median compensation in 1989-90. Midland Technical College, at $\$ 34,800$, was the low compensation institution, a percentage increase of $11.2 \%$ from the lowest average compensation in 1989-90. Complete rankings of all institutions may be found on pages 17 and 18.

## Section B: Salary Study Results, Nevada

Table 2 shows salary and compensation characteristics of salary, percentage change, and ranking in the sample for both of Nevada's medium-enrollment urban community colleges, Community College of Southern Nevada and Truckee Meadows Community College. Data for Northern Nevada Community College and Western Nevada Community College, while outside the sample criteria, are listed in the tables for comparison purposes. Between 1989-90 and 1991-92, the CCSN average salary increased by $13.7 \%$ and the average compensation increased by $15.8 \%$. In the ranking of the sample, CCSN was ranked 27 th out of 42 colleges in salary and 28 th cut of 40 in compensation. It should be noted that the 1991-92 rankings are not exactly comnarable with the $1989-90$ rankings because the $1989-90$ sample had 47 colieges.

Between 1989-90 and 1991-92, the TMCC average salary increased $9.2 \%$ and the average compensation increased $7.7 \%$. In the ranking of the sample, TMCC was ranked

TABLE TWO: NEVADA SALARY AND COMPENSATION CHARACTERISTICS 1985-86, 1987-88, 1989-90 AND 1991-92

|  | $\underline{85-86}$ | $\underline{87-88}$ | $\underline{89-90}$ | $\underline{91-92}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CCSN |  |  |  |  |
| SALARY | $\$ 28,400$ | $\$ 31,600$ | $\$ 32,900$ | $\$ 37,400$ |
| \% Change | $-\cdots$ | $11.3 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ |
| Rank | 29 | 24 | 32 | 27 |
|  |  | $\$ 37,000$ | $\$ 38,500$ | $\$ 44,600$ |
| COMP | $\$ 32,200$ | $14.9 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ |
| \% Change | $--\cdots$ | 32 | 36 | 28 |

TMCC

| SALARY | $\$ 27,700$ | $\$ 30,600$ | $\$ 34,800$ | $\$ 38,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\%$ Change | $-\cdots--$ | $10.5 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ |
| Rank | 30 | 32 | 29 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| COMP | $\$ 32,500$ | $\$ 36,200$ | $\$ 41,400$ | $\$ 44,600$ |
| \% Change | $-\cdots--$ | $11.4 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| Rank | 36 | 33 | 30 | 28 |

NNCC

| SALARY | \$24,900 | \$27,300 | \$28,200 | \$36,100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Change | ------ | 9.6\% | 3.3\% | 28.0\% |
| Rank | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| COMP | \$31,400 | N/A | \$33,400 | \$43,500 |
| \% Change | ---- | ----- | ----- | 30.2\% |
| Rank | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| WNCC |  |  |  |  |
| SALARY | \$26,600 | \$31,400 | \$33,100 | \$39,200 |
| \% Change | ------ | 18.0\% | 5.4\% | 18.4\% |
| Rank | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| COMP | \$31,000 | \$37,000 | \$38,100 | \$46,700 |
| \% Change | ------ | 19.4\% | 3.0\% | 22.6\% |
| Rank | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

26th out of 42 colleges in salary and 28th out of 40 in compensation. Again, the 1991-92 rankings are not exactly comparable to the 1989-90 rankings for the reasons stated above.

91-92 Community College
Faculty Salaries

|  | Community College | Salary | \% Above or Below Median | \% Above or Below Top Qll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | San Joaquin Delta CC (CA) | \$54,800 | 40.0\% | 24.5\% |
| 2. | San Bernadino CC (CA) | \$49,000 | 25.2\% | 11.4\% |
| 3. | Schoolcraft College (MI) | \$48,200 | 23.1\% | 9.6\% |
| 4. | Maricopa CC District (AZ) | \$47.800 | 22.1\% | 8.6\% |
| 5. | Santa Barbara CC (CA) | \$46,500 | 18.8\% | 5.7\% |
| 6. | Grand Rapids CC (MI) | \$45,700 | 16.7\% | 3.9\% |
| 7. | Milwaukee Area Tech CC | \$45,400 | 16.0\% | 3.2\% |
| 8. | C.S. Mott CC (MI) | \$45,200 | 15.5\% | 2.7\% |
| 8. | Lakeiand CC (OH) | \$45,200 | 15.5\% | 2.7\% |
| 10. | Eastield College (TX) | \$44,500 | 13.7\% | 1.1\% |
| 11. | Mt. Viaw CC (TX) (TOP OTL) | \$43,500 | 11.1\% | 0.0\% |
| 11. | Normandale CC (MN) | \$43,500 | 11.1\% | 0.0\% |
| 13. | Joliet JC (IL) | \$43,200 | 10.3\% | -1.8\% |
| 14. | Cabrillo College (CA) | \$42,900 | 9.6\% | -2.5\% |
| 15. | Lakewood CC (MN) | \$42,800 | 9.3\% | -2.7\% |
| 15. | North Hennepin CC (MN) | \$42,800 | 9.3\% | -2.7\% |
| 17. | North Lake College (TX) | \$42,700 | 9.1\% | -3.0\% |
| 18. | Inver Hills CC (MN) | \$41,800 | 6.8\% | -5.0\% |
| 19. | Honolulu CC (HI) | \$41,400 | 5.8\% | -5.9\% |
| 20. | Cincinatti Tech College (OH) | \$40,700 | 4.0\% | -7.5\% |
| 21. | Kapiolani CC (HI) | \$39,600 | 1.2\% | -10.0\% |
|  | Western Nevada CC (NV)* | \$39,200 | 0.1\% | -10.9\% |
|  | MEDIAN | \$39,150 |  |  |
| 22. | Florida CC Jacksonville (FL) | \$38,700 | -1.2\% | -12.1\% |
| 22. | Prairie State CC (IL) | \$38,700 | -1.2\% | -12.1\% |
| 24. | St. Petersburg JC (FL) | \$38,500 | -1.7\% | -12.5\% |
| 25. | Johnson County CC (KS) | \$38,300 | -2.2\% | -13.0\% |
| 26. | Truckee Meadows CC (NV) | \$38,000 | -2.9\% | -13.6\% |
| 27. | CC of Southern Nevada (NV) | \$37,400 | -4.5\% | -15.0\% |
| $2 \%$. | Utan Valley CC (UT) | \$37,300 | -4.7\% | -15.2\% |
| 29. | Portland CC (OR) | \$36,300 | -7.3\% | -17.5\% |
|  | Northern Nevada CC (NV)* | \$36,100 | -7.8\% | -18.0\% |
| 30. | Mount Hood CC (OR) | \$36,100 | -7.8\% | -18.0\% |
| 30. | Tallahassee CC (FL) | \$36,100 | -7.8\% | -.18.0\% |
| 32. | Metropolitan CC Dist (MO) | \$35,800 | -8.6\% | -21.6\% |
| 33. | Lane County CC (OR) | \$34,500 | -11.9\% | -21.6\% |
| 34. | Tulsa JC (OK) | \$34,400 | -12.1\% | -21.8\% |
| 35. | Jefferson State CC (AL) | \$33,800 | -13.7\% | -23.2\% |
| 36. | Oklahoma Cily CC (OK) | \$31,600 | -19.3\% | -28.2\% |
| 37. | Eastern lowa CC Dist (IA) | \$31,400 | -:9.8\% | -28.6\% |
| 38. | Front Range CC (CO) | \$30,700 | -21.6\% | -30.2\% |
| 39. | Arapahoe CC (CO) | \$30,000 | -23.4\% | -31.8\% |
| 40. | Hinds CC (MS) | \$28,400 | -27.5\% | -35.5\% |
| 41. | Metropolitan CC (NE) | \$28,000 | -28.5\% | -36.4\% |
| 42. | Midlands Tech College (SC) | \$27,900 | -28.7\% | -36.6\% |

## 91-92 Community College <br> Compensation
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